

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/676,915	10/01/2003	Helen Duke Robinson	8287	
759	90 05/30/2006		EXAMINER ·	
Helen Duke Robinson			QUINN, COLLEEN M	
1150 Cliff Sprin Oneonta, AL	_		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chooma, 122 00121			3634	
			DATE MAILED: 05/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/676,915	ROBINSON, HELEN DUKE		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Colleen M. Quinn	3634		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Octoors</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final.			
Disposition of Claims		•		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		•		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 27 January 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original of the correction of the original of the correction of the original origina	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s)	_			
 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 3634

DETAILED ACTION

Abstract

The abstract is objected because of the numerous instances in which the applicant references the intended use of the claimed invention. The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art. Appropriate correction in required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The recitation "correlating to the length and height dimensions of letter size and legal-size file folders" in claim 3, renders the claim indefinite. In particular, file folders are not an element of the claimed device, and it is improper to seek to define claimed structure based on a comparison to some unclaimed element, especially one, such as file folders, which varies on dimension. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Evenson (US 4,535,896). Evenson discloses an extensible (Figures 4-5) holder (10), with a structure (12,14, 34), having extensible walls (16), correlating to the dimensions of letter and legal size documents (Figure 5) and able to maintain file folders in an upright position, preserving space for future removal or placement of file folders.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Josephson (5,871,142). Josephson discloses a collapsible container (Figures 1-4) correlating to the dimensions of legal and letter size documents (Specification, column 4, lines 44-50), that is able to maintain file folders in an upright position, preserving space for future removal or placement of file folders.

Application/Control Number: 10/676,915 Page 4

Art Unit: 3634

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Schlesinger (US 6,705,473), Foster (US 5,833,271) and Bluemle (5,398,866), all disclose inventions that are capable of performing the applicant's desired task.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Colleen M. Quinn whose telephone number is (571) 272-6289. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:00PM Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on (571) 272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

5/17/06 CMQ

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER